

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2007) KLR VOL 6 PART 241 pp. 2957 - 3162

JUNE 2007

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

JUNE CONTD.

10. Action Congress (AC) v. Independent National Electoral Commission p. 2957
11. A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035
12. Opuiyo v. Omoniwari p. 3109
13. Universal Trust Bank Limited v. Dolmetsch Pharmacy (Nigeria) Limited p. 3133

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 6 KLR

ACTIONS - Jurisdiction - Supreme Court's original jurisdiction - Does not avail in this action - Where the proper body to be sued - Is the INEC (Independent National Electoral Commission) (H2) A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035

ACTIONS - Remedy - Interest of the State - Wrong done to a Governor personally - Should be pursued by him vide personal legal action - Without converting it into a State matter (H5) A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035

APPEALS - Competence - Leave - Grounds of appeal - Where of fact or mixed law and fact - Failure to obtain leave of court - Makes the appeal incompetent - Vide s. 233(3) 1999 Constitution (H2) Opuiyo v. Omoniwari p. 3109

APPEALS - Issues - Competence - Ex parte injunction granted by trial court - Where two issues against it where not raised before Court of Appeal - And no leave was obtained - They will be struck out as incompetent (H3) UTB Ltd v. Dolmetsch Pharm. Ltd p. 3133

APPEALS - Issues - Grounds of appeal - Respondent's issues for determination - Are not formulated from evidence - Or from applicable law - They must flow from the grounds of appeal - And decision of lower court (HI) UTB Ltd v. Dolmetsch Pharm. Ltd p. 3133

APPEALS - Land matters - Issues - Leave - Issue of mixed law and fact - Invitation to appellate court - To consider matters of fact not considered by the court below - Is an issue of fact or mixed law and fact (H1) Opuiyo v. Omoniwari p. 3109

APPEALS - Leave - Fresh point of law or issue - Not being an issue of jurisdiction - Would be incompetent - Where leave of court was not secured (H2) UTB Ltd v. Dolmetsch Pharm. Ltd p. 3133

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Elections - Disqualification of candidates - Under s. 137(1) 1999 Constitution - Is not conferred upon respondent - By this or any other section or schedule of the Constitution (H2) Action Congress v. INEC p. 2957

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Elections - Disqualification of candidates - Ground for under s. 137(1)(i) 1999 Constitution - Is not self executing - But appertains exclusively to properly exercised judicial power (H3) Action Congress v. INEC p.2957

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Supreme Court - Jurisdiction - Parties - Dispute - There is no dispute as envisaged by s. 232 1999 Constitution - Between the present parties - To warrant exercise of Supreme Court's original jurisdiction (H3) A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035

ELECTIONS - Candidates - Disqualification of - Electoral Act 2002 that conferred power to disqualify candidates upon respondent - Was repealed by the 2006 Act - Which removed the power - And now vested it with the courts (H4) Action Congress v. INEC p. 2957

EVIDENCE - Affidavits - Depositions - That contain legal arguments - Should be struck out - For offending s. 87 Evidence Act (H1) A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035

INJUNCTIONS - Ex parte order - Urgency of time - That justifies interim injunction - Without notice to the other party - Existed in this case (H4) UTB Ltd v. Dolmetsch Pharm. Ltd p. 3133

INJUNCTIONS - Ex parte order - Variation - Grounds on which a court will vary its interim order - Include suppression or misrepresentation of material facts - Which factor does not avail in this case (H5) UTB Ltd v. Dolmetsch Pharm. Ltd p. 3133

STATUTES - Interpretation - Golden rule - Requires that words be given

iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 6 KLR

their natural meaning - Without introducing extraneous matters - That will give a meaning different from legislator's intention (H4) A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035

STATUTES - Interpretation - Isolation - Provisions of the Constitution or a statute - Should not be interpreted in isolation but in context - While plain words should be given their natural meaning (H1) Action Congress v. INEC p. 2957

SUPREME COURT - Original jurisdiction - Under s. 232 1999 Constitution - Where no dispute exists between the parties - Supreme Court has no jurisdiction to determine the case (H6) A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 ss. 6, 36, 131 & 137 Action Congress v. INEC p. 2957; s. 233 Opuiyo v. Omoniwari p. 3109 ; ss. 5, 6, 14, 20, 178 180, 185, 232, 239 A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035

Electoral Act 2002 s.21 Action Congress v. INEC p. 2957

Electoral Act No. 2 of 2006 s.144 A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035; ss.32 & 165 (1) Action Congress v. INEC p. 2957

Interpretation Act s. 18 (1) Action Congress v. INEC p. 2957

Supreme Court Rules 0. 3. rr. 1 & 6 A-G Anambra v. A-G Federation p. 3035